IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GHULAM MOHAMMED

WRIT PETITION NO : 18066 of 1994

Between:

M.Venkataramana, S/o. M. Krishna Murthy,
in the Office of Executive Engineer-I, T.T.D., Tirumala,
Chittoor Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams,
Tirupathi, Chittoor, Dist.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction particularly one in the nature of Mandamus; i) declaring the action of the respondents in not considering representation dt. 24.06.1994 for promotion of Asst. Engineer before considering his juniors as illegal, arbitrary without jurisdiction, ii) direct the respondent to consider petitioner's name for promotion to the post of Asst. Engineer before considering any of his Juniors names and promote him accordingly.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.VASUDEVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent : MR.A.K.JAYAPRAKASH RAO

The Court made the following :

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GHULAM MOHAMMED

WRIT PETITION NO.18066 OF 1994

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking to issue a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent-Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devastanam, Tirupati in not considering the representation dated 24.6.1994 made by the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer before considering the case of his juniors as being illegal, arbitrary and without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer.

This Court by an order, dated 14.11.1994 passed in W.P.M.P.No.22178 of 1994 granted interim direction to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer, if the petitioner is otherwise eligible and qualified.

When the matter is taken up for hearing it is represented by the learned standing counsel for the respondent that pursuant to the interim direction passed by this Court, the case of the petitioner has been considered and vide proceedings dated 13.4.1995, the case of the petitioner has been rejected.

Inasmuch as it is stated that the case of the petitioner has been considered and rejected by an order dated 13.4.1995 and inasmuch as the relief sought for in this writ petition is only regarding non-consideration of his representation no further

adjudication is necessary in this writ petition.

Writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

18.11.2004.

mdaa/nrg.

Copy to:

1. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi, Chittoor, Dist.

2. Two C.D.copies.